

बीस रुपये  
TWENTY RUPEES

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF M.P. PRINCIPAL SEAT  
JABALPUR**

**CON.C. 703 OF 2014**

(Arising out of order dt. 08/05/2013 passed in W.P. 7383/2013)

PETITIONER: : **Hivarkhed Shivram Siksha Samiti**  
Through its president Ramesh Gwhade  
S/O Late Shri Shivram Gawhade, aged  
about 38 yrs R/O F-22-C/81 Saket  
Nagar Bhopal Distt-Bhopal M.P.

RESPONDENTS : 1/ **Smt. R. Jaya** chairman/member  
secretary National Council for the  
Teachers Education head office Hans  
Bhawan wing -II Bahadur Shah Zafar  
Marg, New Delhi.

2/ **Shri Sunil Shrivastava** regional  
director, National Council for the  
Teachers Education WRC (Western  
Regional Committee) Manas Bhawan,  
Shyamla Hills, Bhopal Distt.- Bhopal  
M.P.

**CONTEMPT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 215 OF THE  
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA READ WITH SECTION 12 OF THE  
CONTEMPT OF COURT ACT.**

1. That, the petitioner is filing present contempt petition for non-compliance of the order dt. 08/05/2013 passed in W.P. 7383/2013. A copy order dt. 08/05/2013 passed in W.P. 7383/2013 is filed as **Annexure C/1**.
2. That, by aforesaid order ANNEX.C/1 Hon'ble court has directed to respondent /contemnors to decide case of the petitioner for grant of recognition for the D.El.Ed. Course in the light of decision in W.P. 9988/2012 [Captain Ramesh Chand Nema Vs National Council for the Teachers Education].
3. That, the petitioner submitted application along with the order of this Hon'ble court and requested for extension of



# HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH

ORDER SHEET

CASE No. .... 2014

..... Vs. ....

DATE OF THE  
ORDER

1  
ORDER

Conc. No.703/2014

**18/7/2014.**

Shri Brindawan Tiwari, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Shri K. K. Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and on a perusal of the reply filed by the respondents, it is clear that now the only grievance of the petitioner is that recognition and approval for the session 2014-2015 has not been granted.

In the writ petition the only direction was to consider the application of the petitioner in case a fresh application is filed. It is said that the petitioner was prevented from filing the application because the petitioner was not given access to approach the website of the Council to submit the online application.

However, the respondents refutes the aforesaid. There being a dispute between the parties with regard to the petitioner being submitted application in accordance to rules, it is not appropriate to initiate action for contempt. In case the petitioner is aggrieved by the manner in which he was denied permission to submit his application for the academic session 2014-2015 it gives a fresh cause to the petitioner to agitate the matter in accordance with law but it is not

# HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH

ORDER SHEET



CASE No. .... 201 .....

Vs. ....

DATE OF THE ORDER

1  
ORDER

Conc. No. 703/2014

appropriate to initiate action for contempt on such consideration.

Accordingly, we drop the proceedings and grant liberty to the petitioner to take fresh steps in case they have any grievance still subsisting in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid this application stands disposed of.

*sd/-*  
(Rajendra Menon)  
Judge

*sd/-*  
(Alok Verma)  
Judge

mrs. misbra

**TRUE COPY**  
Hrd Coylat,  
High Court of Madhya Pradesh  
JABALPUR

