



*Copied from Sheet*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH**  
**PRINCIPAL SEAT AT JABALPUR.**

REVIEW PETITION NO. *401* OF 2014.

{Arising out of order dated 17-04-2014 passed in W.P. No. 6151 of 2014}

**PETITIONER:** Vivek Babu Awasthi, S/o Surendra Babu Awasthi, aged about 31 years, Occupation- Student, R/o: Vill/Post- Shahpur, Thana Sikarara, District-Jhunpur (U.P.)

*Exp*  
*51694*

**Versus**

**RESPONDENTS:** 1.

The State of Madhya Pradesh. Through: it's Secretary, Higher Education Department, Ministry, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal. (M.P.)

dated on *23/6/2014*  
*S. S. S.*

Presentation Assistant\* 2.

Regional Director, National Council for Teacher Education (NCTE), Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shyamla Hills, Bhopal (M.P.).

3.

Registrar, Jiwaji University, Gwalior. (M.P.)

375. I.I.TS. College, Guna. (M.P.) Through: Principal.



**REVIEW PETITION FOR MODIFICATION OF ORDER**

**DATED 17-04-2014.**

The petitioners beg to submit as under:-

1. That, this is the First Review Petition for modification of order dated 17-04-2014 passed in W.P. No. 6151 of 2014. No proceeding against the order dated 17-04-2014 is pending before or decided by any other court.



# HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH

ORDER SHEET

CASE No. ....201.....

Vivek Babu Awasthi Vs. State of M. P. and others  
.....Vs.....

DATE OF THE ORDER	ORDER
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>R. P. No. 401/14</u></b></p> <p><b><u>30/06/14</u></b></p> <p>Shri Shakti Soni, learned counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Rahul Jain, learned Dy. Adv. General for the State.</p> <p>Shri K. K. Singh, learned counsel for National Council for Teacher Education.</p> <p>This application has been filed for modification/clarification of an order dated 17.04.14 passed by this Court in W. P. No. 6151/14. While disposing of this writ petition, it was observed by this Court that petitioner was granted admission to the institute which was not recognized. Infact, petitioner was granted admission to the institute which was recognized but admission to the petitioner was granted without counseling and, therefore, the admission was illegal.</p> <p>That being so, we clarify the order passed and hold that petitioner was admitted to the institute in question which was recognized but as the petitioner was admitted to the institute without due counseling as per rules and petitioner and the institute were fully aware of this fact, petitioner is granted liberty to seek compensation from the</p>



